Sold State of State o

United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov SEP 2 2 2009 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. ILING DATE 4232-4002 4838 09/839,451 04/20/2001 **David Corts** 7590 08/24/2009 **EXAMINER** MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. CHAMPAGNE, DONALD 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154-0053 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3688 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

08/24/2009

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/839,451	CORTS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald i Champagna	3688
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Donald L. Champagne	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>20 February 2009</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on 		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).		
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.		
7. The reason(s) below:		
The final rejection mailed on 20 February 2009 was returned to the Office as undeliverable. According to the attached article from Law.com, the atty. firm of record, Morgan & Finnegan, LLP, "dissolved in February" and filed for bankruptcy in March. The telephone numbers of record with the Office do not answer or clearly have been reassigned to other subscribers. including those of the last attv Sungho Hong. Attach: PTO-892 and one NPL item /Donald L. Champagne/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688 571-272-6717		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.		

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 09/839,451 CORTS ET AL. Notice of References Cited Art Unit Examiner Page 1 of 1 Donald L. Champagne 3688 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** Document Number Date Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY US-Α US-В US-С US-D US-E US-F US-G US-Н US-US-J US-Κ US-US-М FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Document Number Date Country Name Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Ν 0 Р Q R s Т **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS** Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) U "Law.com - Morgan and Finnegan Files for Bankruptcy", downloaded 22 August 2009. W

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are put-lication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Х

- Law.com Home Newswire
- LawJobs
 - CLE Center
- LawCatalog
 - **Our Sites**
- Advertise

An incisivemedia weasite

LAW.COM

8

Register for Legal Newswire | Legal Blogs | Newsletters | XML Feeds

Morgan & Finnegan Files for Bankruptcy The American Lawyer Nate Raymond

Box a Comment

March 18, 2009

Printer-friendly DEmail this Article Reprints & Permissions

Morgan & Finnegan, the New York IP boutique that dissolved in February after a raft of partner departures, filed for bankruptcy Tuesday.

revenue declined 38 percent last year, listed \$6.37 million in assets and \$10 The Chapter 7 filing, first reported on the blog Above the Law, came six response to a lawsuit by lender JPMorgan Chase. The boutique, whose days after a New York state judge placed the firm into receivership in million in liabilities.

in Manhattan, declined to comment. A call to senior partner John Sweeney A lawyer for Morgan & Finnegan, Isaac Nutovic of Nutovic & Associates went unreturned.

explained by partners leaving with clients for firms that included Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft and Dickstein Shapiro. In 2007, Morgan Revenue at Morgan & Finnegan fell dramatically last year, in part likely & Finnegan grossed \$60.63 million, the filings say. Revenue dropped to \$36.99 million in 2008. By January 2009, the firm had 17 partners left, according to the complaint filed in February by JPMorgan. Partners were in merger discussions with Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell by December 2008.

Top Stories From Law.

Legal Technology

Products Liability in a Digital World

In-House Counsel

• Two Veteran Lawyers Say Now Is the Time for Fixed Fees

Small Firm Business

Film Heroes Who Arc Solos Can Inspire

...... ADVERTISEMENT

partners, with an expectation they could bill \$28 million in 2009, according

Locke Lord ultimately agreed in February to hire 30 lawyers, including 13

١

to leaked offer letters. Locke Lord took over Morgan & Finnegan's space at World Financial Center. JPMorgan is now suing for \$4.1 million from the firm because the landlord tapped a letter of credit, and the firm has allegedly not repaid the bank.

Morgan & Finnegan lists JPMorgan's claim as a little less at \$3.82 million. A spokesman for the bank declined comment. Morgan's bankruptcy papers says the firm is owed money from two former partners because of draws that exceeded their capital accounts. The firm McWha and Cadwalader special counsel Tod Melgar. Melgar declined says it's owed a combined \$223,732 from Day Pitney partner Keith comment; McWha did not return a call for comment.

their current address collectively are listed with \$1.64 million in unsecured Capital-related claims total \$3.9 million. Partners listing Locke Lord as Multiple former partners are listed as unsecured creditors owed capital claims, with Sweeney listed with \$269,268 in unreturned capital.

against the firm we get his capital back, is listed with \$275,000 in his capital Cadwalader partner Christopher Hughes, who previously filed a lawsuit account. The amount is listed as "disputed."

declined comment.

The filings say Morgan & Finnegan previously received debt consolidation or bankruptcy advice from Duane Morris bankruptcy partner Lawrence Kotler, whom it paid \$75,000 sometime in the last 12 months. Kotler says he is not advising on Morgan & Finnegan's current bankruptcy filing. This article first appeared on The Am Law Daily blog on AmericanLawyer.

Avoid the Most Common SAP Pitfalls

Applications with Minimal Business Interruption www.business.att.com

Manage help with Adobe®RoboHelp®8

Register for the SAP BI

Author help solutions, knowledgebases, policies & procedures. Free 30 Day Trial! www.adobe.com/RoboHelp

About Incisive Media | About Law.com | Customer Support | Privacy Policy | Terms & Conditions

http://www.law.com/jsp/article.jsp?lid=1202429152961 (2 of 3)8/22/2009 3:55:11 PM

CORPORATE AND BANKRUPTCY

lawjobs.com

PARTNERS / LE BUSINESS

GROUPS WITH \$750K IN PORTABLE WANTED FOR TOP FIRM

Hiretrends, Inc. New York, New York

CONFIDENTIAL SEARCH Philadelphia, PA ATTORNEY

MORE JOBS >>

POST A JOB >>

A lawyer for Hughes, Ronald Minkoff at Frankfurt-Kurnit Klein & Selz,

E a Comment

Marketplace

Learn How to Benefit From SAP

Feel empowered by making effective, informed decisions based on solid data. www.sap.com/BusinessIntelligence Resource Center

erties, III.G. Alliriohtsiresenved

Law.com - Morgan & Finnegan Files for Bankruptcy

1.

÷.